

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s) /	\neg
Office Action Summary		10/0	067,936	SAITO ET A	L.	\perp
		Exa	miner	Art Unit		
			y Hartmann	3671		_
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisior SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum or to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Inturnication. (30) days, a reply within statutory period will apply by will, by statute, cause	n no event, however, may the statutory minimum of t y and will expire SIX (6) Mi the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be consider DNTHS from the mailing date of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication. 33).	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>07 Novem</u>	<u>ber 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action	n is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			v Summary (PTO-413) Par f Informal Patent Applicatio		

Application/Control Number: 10/067,936

Aft Unit: 3671

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reed et al. (U.S. Patent 5,273,126). Reed et al. discloses a roller having a body and a driving shaft with right and left ends. There are crawlers (10) mounted on each of the right and left sides. There are driving wheels (20) detachably attached to each of the right and left ends of the driving shaft. There are driven wheels (14, 18). There is a connecting member (column 2, line 61 through column 3, line 13) which attaches each of the right and left sets of driven wheels.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed et al. as applied above, and further in view of Gey (U.S. Patent 4,865,141). This rejection has been discussed in the prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,936

Art Unit: 3671

- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed et al. as applied above, and further in view of Domenighetti et al. (U.S. Patent 5,009,546). This rejection has been discussed in the prior Office action.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed et al. and Gey as applied above, and further in view of Domenighetti et al. (U.S. Patent 5,009,546). This rejection has been discussed in the prior Office action.
- 7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed et al. and Gey as applied above, and further in view of Muro et al. (U.S. Patent 6,123,133). This rejection has been discussed in the prior Office action.
- 8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reed et al. as applied above, and further in view of Muro et al. (U.S. Patent 6,123,133). This rejection has been discussed in the prior Office action.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 11/7/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's statement that driven wheels are not attached to the bottom of the body through a single connecting member is not persuasive because the structure is not claimed in a manner that distinguishes the configuration of Reed et al. from that of the present invention. First, the term "single" is not present in the claim, so the number of connecting members is not limited to one. Additionally, any part of the suspension of Reed et al. could be considered to be the connecting member. Even though there are additional members in the connection assembly

Art Unit: 3671

of Reed et al., a part of the suspension meets the claim language since it provides a connection between the body and the crawler assemblies.

Further arguments regarding the differences between Reed et al. and the present invention are moot, as the claims simply do not include the details that are discussed in the arguments.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,936

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

gh

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671